



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,048	06/26/2001	Bhavesh Mistry	S63.2-9764	1791
490	7590 08/15/2002			
VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A. 6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000 MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			EXAMINER	
			ASSADI, KATHRYN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4							
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/892,048	MISTRY ET AL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kathryn L Assadi	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput population of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a. cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35.U.S.C. 8.133)				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	<u>June 2001</u> .					
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	im(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	oplication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	G				
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	• •						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	. 5) Notice of In	fummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 4				

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5, drawn to a stent delivery catheter, classified in class 604, subclass 103.02.
- II. Claim 5, drawn to a method of making a stent delivery balloon, classified in class 264, subclass 512.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. James M. Urzedowski on August 8, 2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-5. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 6 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 09/892,048

Art Unit: 3763

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "10" and "12" have both been used to designate the outer shaft of the balloon catheter. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/892,048

Art Unit: 3763

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claim 3 recites "the balloon material," which is nowhere to be found in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the balloon material" in Line 2 of Claim 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the two radiopaque band portions" in Line 2 of Claim 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,048

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischell et al (US 5,792,144). Fischell et al discloses a stent delivery catheter comprising a catheter having a distal end, the catheter including a balloon near its distal end, a stent mounted for delivery onto the balloon, the balloon including at least one radiopaque portion wherein the at least one radiopaque portion comprises one or more radiopaque band portions and wherein the radiopaque band portions are positioned so that they mark the proximal and distal ends of the stent (Column 4, Lines 26-48). Fischell et al also teaches wherein the radiopaque portion is made by including radiopaque metal powder (Column 2, Lines 41-47).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischell et al in view of Cioanta et al (US2002/0082610). Fischell et al discloses all of the claimed

Page 6

limitations except the radiopaque portion made by applying radiopaque ink. Cioanta et al teaches the radiopaque portion made by radiopaque ink (Page 8, [0078]). Cioanta et al discloses that radiopaque ink is just one of the many well known biocompatible markers that are applied to block the transmission of X-ray for better contrast in images (Page 9, [0078]). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Cioanta et al to modify the invention of Fischell et al in order to create a stent delivery catheter in which the radiopaque portion of the balloon is made by applying radiopaque ink.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn L Assadi whose telephone number is 703-305-3286. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM: 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**

August 7, 2002